

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 11, 2003 LB 186

additional requirements if they want to go through the expense and trouble of doing it. Back when this was enacted, there were certainly counties who had zoning powers then. Lancaster and Douglas for sure, and most of the eastern counties. The law wasn't enacted because there weren't any counties with zoning. The law was enacted because there is a general statewide purpose to our tourism industry, to the general aesthetics of the state, to maintaining the image of the state, and in particular with regard to the byways system, of developing in the state a system of roads that we could advertise to people, these are beautiful places to go in Nebraska. These are our scenic byways. And some of these are long stretches that go through several counties, in the Sandhills, for example. And if just one county lets the junkyard situation go to heck, well, then you've ruined the scenic byway. The statutes describe scenic byways. It says, the Department of Roads may designate portions of state highway system as a scenic byway when the highway corridor possesses unusual, exceptional, or distinctive scenic, historic, recreation, cultural, or archaeological features. And they've done that in a number of cases. Any portion of a highway designated as a scenic highway, which was in... which is within a municipality, shall not be designated as part of the scenic byway. So we don't try to intrude in urban situations, except in very limited situations. And it also, under another statute, prohibits the erection of signs along scenic highways, except under certain conditions. So we've taken, over the years, a number of precautions, identifying scenic highways, putting special appropriations in the scenic highways, limiting signs on scenic highways, and seeing that junkyards along scenic highways are at least screened. I mean, this is not a prohibition on all junkyards. It's seeing that they're properly beautified, so that they're not an eyesore along a scenic byway or along a highway system. Senator Baker indicates that the Department of Roads doesn't want it anymore because they're not required to do it. Well, they're not required to do it by the federal government, maybe. But they're sure required to do it by this Legislature. And if they aren't doing it, I for one will start finding out why they aren't doing it. But I don't understand, generally, that they're not doing it. I think they are doing it. But the answer to that is to enforce the law, not to let the situation escape the attention of some sort of unified